# AF Ablation Outcomes to 2020 Prognostic Benefit of Ablation

#### Douglas L. Packer MD

13<sup>th</sup> Expert Meeting Berlin January 18, 2020

# AF Ablation Outcomes to 2020

#### **Douglas L. Packer MD**

Meet the AF Expert Webinar Series ACC Japan January 14, 2020

#### **Disclosures**

Dr. D. Packer in the past 12 months has provided consulting services for Biosense Webster, Inc., Boston Scientific, CyberHeart, Medtronic, Inc., nContact, Sanofi-Aventis, St. Jude Medical, and Toray Industries. Dr. Packer received no personal compensation for these consulting activities.

Dr. Packer receives research funding from the NIH, Medtronic, Inc., Cryo Cath, Siemens AG, EP Limited, Minnesota Partnership for Biotechnology and Medical Genomics/ University of Minnesota, Biosense Webster, Inc. and Boston Scientific.

Mayo Clinic and Drs. D. Packer and R. Robb have a financial interest in mapping technology that may have been used at some of the 10 centers participating in this pilot research. In accordance with the Bayh-Dole Act, this technology has been licensed to St. Jude Medical, and Mayo Clinic and Drs. Packer and Robb received annual royalties >\$10,000, the federal threshold for significant financial interest.

New Updates from CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together For Isolating PVIs

#### **Douglas L. Packer MD**

Get in Rhythm, Stay in Rhythm Dallas, Texas August 10, 2019

# New Updates from CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together For Isolating PVIs

#### **Douglas L. Packer MD**

ECAS 2019 Marseille, France June 16, 2019

## Catheter ABlation vs ANtiarrhythmic Drug Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation (CABANA) Trial

Douglas L. Packer MD, Kerry L. Lee PhD, Daniel B. Mark MD, MPH, Richard A. Robb PhD for the CABANA Investigators

Mayo Clinic Rochester Duke Clinical Research Institute National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

#### **CABANA** Trial Funding:

- NIH: (U01HL89709, U01HL089786, U01HL089907 and U01HL089645)
- St Jude Medical Found. and Corp,
- Biosense Webster Inc, JnJ Inc,
- Medtronic Corporation, and
- Boston Scientific Corporation

My name is Idaho Montoya. You peeled my father. Prepare to fry.



#### **Purpose of CABANA**

Compare Ablation to state-of-the-art drug therapy for patients with new onset / undertreated AF

#### **Primary Endpoint**

 All-cause mortality, disabling stroke, serious bleeding, or cardiac arrest

#### Major Secondary Endpoints

- All-cause mortality
- Death (all-cause) or cardiovascular hospitalization

### **CABANA Trial Design**

R

1:1

Enroll patients with *new* onset or under-treated paroxysmal, persistent, or long standing persistent AF who <u>warrant therapy</u>

Key Inclusion Criteria
≥65 years of age
<65 years of age with ≥1 CVA/CV risk factor
Eligible for ablation and

 ≥2 rhythm or rate control drugs

No Exclusion Criteria Identified Ablation Therapy (1108) Primary ablation: •PVI/WACA Ancillary ablation: •Linear lesions •CFAE •Anticoagulation

Drug Therapy (1096) •Rate Control or •Rhythm Control •Anticoagulation



\* Withdrew <3 years

## **Patient Demographics**

	Ablation <u>N=1108</u>	Drug Therapy <u>N=1096</u>
Age, Median (Q1, Q3)	68 (62, 72)	67 (62, 72)
<65 yrs	33.8%	35.7%
65 - 74	52.1%	50.5%
<u>&gt;</u> 75	14.1%	13.9%
Sex (Female)	37.3%	37.0%
Minority	10.2%	10.2%
BMI, Median (Q1, Q3)	30 (27, 84)	30 (26, 35)

#### **Baseline History in CABANA**

	<b>Ablation</b>	Drug Therapy
Sleep Apnea	23.6%	22.5%
Cardiomyopathy	8.9%	11.2%
<b>Congestive Heart Failure</b>	15.7%	14.9%
NYHA Class		
Class I	13.9%	11.6%
Class II/III	34.3%	36.7%
Prior CVA or TIA	10.6%	9.4%

### **Arrhythmia History in CABANA**

AF Type	<u>Ablation</u>	Drug Therapy
Paroxysmal	42.4%	43.5%
Persistent	47.3%	47.3%
Longstanding Persistent	10.3%	9.2%
Years since onset of AF [Median (Q1,Q3)]	1.1 (0.3, 4.1	1.1 (0.3, 3.9)
CCS Severity of AF		
Class 0-1	34.6%	26.7%
Class 2	31.8%	32.4%
Class 3-4	43.5%	41.0%
Prior hospitalization for AF	40.6%	38.8%

#### Primary and Secondary Outcomes as Randomized (ITT)

		Ablation	Drug	Hazard Ratio	P-
		N = 1108	N = 1096	(95% CI)	Value
F	Primary Outcome				
	Composite:	89 (8.0%)	101 (9.2%)	0.86 (0.65, 1.15)	0.30
	Death	58 (5.2%)	67 (6.1%)	0.85 (0.60, 1.21)	0.38
	Disabling stroke	3 (0.3%)	7 (0.6%)	0.42 (0.11, 1.62)	0.19
	Serious bleeding	36 (3.2%)	36 (3.3%)	0.98 (0.62, 1.56)	0.93
	Cardiac arrest	7 (0.6%	11 (1.0%)	0.62 (0.24, 1.61)	0.33
S	Secondary Outcomes				
	All-cause mortality	58 (5.2%)	67 (6.1%)	0.85 (0.60, 1.21)	0.38
	Death or CV hospitalization	573 (51.7%)	637 (58.1%)	0.83 (0.74, 0.93)	0.001

#### Primary Endpoint (Death, Disabling Stroke, Serious Bleeding, or Cardiac Arrest) (ITT)



# Estimates of All-Cause Mortality Risk (ITT)



Primary Endpoint Sub-group Analysis

**All-Cause** Mortality, Disabling Stroke, **Serious Bleeding**, Cardiac Arrest (ITT)

	Interaction		Hazard		
Group	P-Value	N	Ratio	95% CI	
All Subjects		2204	0.86	0.65, 1.15	<b>⊢</b> ∎-I
Age < 65 years old ≥ 65 and < 75 years old ≥ 75 years old	0.074	766 1130 308	0.52 0.84 1.46	0.27, 1.00 0.57, 1.23 0.80, 2.67	
Sex Male Female	0.161	1385 819	0.74 1.14	0.52, 1.06 0.70, 1.86	┝╼╌┥
Minority status White Minority*	0.065	1979 225	0.96 0.43	0.71, 1.31 0.20, 0.95	←∎──┤
AF type Paroxysmal Persistent Long-standing persistent	0.925	946 1042 215	0.82 0.87 1.01	0.51, 1.31 0.59, 1.28 0.39, 2.61	
Years since onset of AF ≤ 1 year > 1 year	0.718	1063 1122	0.83 0.92	0.57, 1.21 0.59, 1.42	┝╼╼╌┥
Hypertension Absent Present	0.734	427 1776	0.97 0.85	0.47, 2.01 0.62, 1.15	┝╼╼┿┥
Hypertension with LVH Absent Present	0.843	1176 587	0.89 0.83	0.61, 1.31 0.47, 1.46	
Sleep apnea Absent Present	0.339	1695 508	0.94 0.69	0.67, 1.32 0.41, 1.17	
BMI < 30 ≥ 30	0.378	1064 1106	0.74 0.96	0.49, 1.11 0.64, 1.44	┝╼╾┥ ┝╼╾┥
CHADS-VASc score ≤ 2 > 2	0.716	959 1245	0.93 0.83	0.54, 1.58 0.59, 1.16	
History of congestive heart failure No Yes	0.196	1865 337	0.95 0.61	0.68, 1.32 0.35, 1.08	
Baseline NYHA class No heart failure or Class I ≥ Class II	0.147	1408 778	1.04 0.68	0.71, 1.52 0.44, 1.05	

\* Minority=Hispanic or Latino or non-white race

Ablation **Better** Better

1

2

4

Drug

0.25 0.5

### Primary and Secondary Outcomes (Treatment Received)\*

	Ablation (N = 1307)	Drug (N = 897)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P- Value
Primary Outcome	92 (7.0%)	98 (10.9%)	0.67 (0.50, 0.89)	0.006
Secondary Outcomes All-cause mortality Death or CV hospitalization	58 (4.4%) 538 (41.2%)	67 (7.5%) 672 (74.9%)	0.60 (0.42, 0.86) 0.83 (0.74, 0.94)	0.005 0.002

\*pre-specifie

#### Primary Endpoint (Death, Disabling Stroke, Serious Bleeding, or Cardiac Arrest (Per Protocol)



**Primary** Endpoint Sub-group Analysis

**All-Cause** Mortality, Disabling Stroke, **Serious Bleeding**, Cardiac Arrest (Per Protocol)

	Interaction		Hazard		
Group	P-Value	Ν	Ratio	95% CI	
All Subjects		2083	0.73	0.54, 0.99	H=-
Age	0.029				Contractor of the Contractor
< 65 years old		725	0.41	0.20, 0.85	
≥ 65 and < 75 years old		1069	0.67	0.45, 1.01	
2 /5 years old	0 1 5 9	289	1.54	0.77, 3.08	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER, NO.
Male	0.155	1311	0.62	0 42 0 91	
Female		772	0.99	0.58, 1.68	
Minority status	0.040				
White		1883	0.84	0.60, 1.17	is eine se <del>l_∎¦i</del> is see see s
Minority*		200	0.32	0.13, 0.75	
AF type	0.719	007	0.05	0.20 4.00	
Paroxysmal		89/	0.65	0.39, 1.08	
Long-standing persistent		202	1 03	0.45, 1.14	
Years since onset of AF	0.643		1.00	0.07, 2.00	A STREET BOARD AND AND A STREET BOARD AND AND AND A STREET BOARD AND AND A STREET BOARD AND AND AND AND A STREET BOARD AND AND A STREET BOARD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
≤ 1 year		1000	0.69	0.46, 1.04	
> 1 year		1066	0.80	0.50, 1.30	
Hypertension	0.805	100			
Absent		403	0.66	0.30, 1.49	
Present Hyportoncion with LVH	0 010	16/9	0.74	0.53, 1.04	STATISTICS STATISTICS
Absent	0.919	1108	0.69	0 45 1 05	
Present		561	0.67	0.36, 1.22	in the <mark>the state</mark> of the state of the stat
Sleep apnea	0.350				
Absent		1598	0.80	0.55, 1.16	
Present		484	0.58	0.32, 1.03	
BMI	0.710	4000	0.00	0 44 4 00	
< 30 > 30		1003	0.69	0.44, 1.06	
CHADS-VASc score	0.928	1031	0.77	0.30, 1.20	
≤2		907	0.75	0.42. 1.32	
> 2		1176	0.72	0.50, 1.05	
History of congestive heart failure	0.147				
No		1772	0.84	0.59, 1.22	
Yes Basolino NVHA class	0 109	309	0.51	0.28, 0.91	
No heart failure or Class	0.190	1327	0.89	0 58 1 36	
≥ Class II		740	0.59	0.37, 0.93	
non-white race					02505124

\* Minority=Hispanic or Latino of

Ablation **Better** 

Drug

**Better** 

#### All-Cause Mortality or Cardiovascular Hospitalization (ITT)



## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together

# **AF Recurrence**

#### First Recurrence AF – Post Blanking\* (ITT)



\*Using CABANA Monitors

#### Cumulative First Recurrence Event Rates Post 90-day Blanking

Atrial Fibrillation

(P < 0.0001)

Atrial Fib/ AFL/ AT

(P < 0.0001)



#### Percent AF Burden Holter Analysis by Baseline Pattern of AF



## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together

# Quality of Life

AFEQT Overall Score: Baseline Values and Change from Baseline at Select Intervals 100



#### Mayo AF-Specific Symptom Inventory(MAFSI) Frequency Score: ITT Analysis

Adjusted Mean Diff.



 $\leftarrow$  Drug Rx Better Ablation Better  $\rightarrow$ 

\* 1° endpoint

#### MAFSI Frequency Score: Baseline Values and Change from Baseline in Long-standing Persistent AF Patients



#### Mayo AF-Specific Symptom Inventory (MAFSI) Frequency Score in Long-Standing Persistent AF Patients Adjusted Mean Diff. Ablation minus Drug Tx

Interval				(95% CI)
Baseline				0.5 (2.3 to -1.3)
3 Month				-1.4 (-0.4 to -3.2)
12 Month				-1.8 (0.1 to -3.8)
24 Month				-2.6 (-0.5 to -4.6)
36 Month				-2.7 (-0.6 to -4.8)
48 Month				-1.2 (1.2 to -3.5)
60 Month				0.0 (2.5 to -2.5)
All				-1.6 (-0.1 to -3.1)
	3,5	-1,5	-6,5	

← Drug Therapy Better Ablation Better →

### MAFSI Frequency Score Across All AF Subgroup Types

#### Long-standing Persistent AF

Persistent AF

Paroxysmal AF



## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together



#### Death, Disabling Stroke, Major Bleeding, Cardiac Arrest in CABANA Age Subgroups

<65 years old ≥65 to <75 years old ≥75 years old

HR 0.53 (0.28,1.01) HR 0.83 (0.57,1.22) HR 1.48 (0.80,2.72)

#### **Months Since Randomization**

\*Hazard Ratio (95% CI), Interaction P-Value for Ablation:Drug = 0.072



# Freedom From Recurrence of **AF/AT/AFL in CABANA Age** Subgroups (competing risk analysis) <65 years old ≥65 to <75 years old ≥75 years old HR 0.50 (0.38,0.65) HR 0.59 (0.49,0.71) HR 0.48 (0.33,0.68) Months since End of Blanking Ablation Drug

\*Hazard Ratio (95% CI), Interaction P-Value for Ablation:Drug = \*0.452

#### Catheter Ablation vs. Medical Rx in CABANA Age Subgroups

## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together

# **AF Type**

#### **Pt Randomization in CABANA by AF Type**



#### Impact of AF Type on Risk of All-Cause Mortality, Disabling Stroke, Serious Bleeding or Cardiac Arrest (ITT)



Event Rate %

Months Since Randomization

Hazard Ratio (95% CI), Interaction P-Value for Ablation:Drug = 0.965



**Months Since Randomization** 

Hazard Ratio (95% CI), Interaction P-Value for Ablation:Drug = 0.911



Hazard Ratio (95% CI), Interaction P-Value for Ablation:Drug = <.001

#### Freedom From Recurrence of by AF Type (Competing Risk Analysis)



Hazard Ratio (95% CI), Interaction P-Value for Ablation:Drug = 0.576



#### **Paired RCT and Observational Data**



### What is the impact of ablation on cardiovascular outcomes?



- 1. Do trial participants represent patients in everyday practice?
- 2. Can observational data help interpret the controversial trial findings?
- **3.** What is the treatment effect in excluded populations?

#### Q2: Can Observational Data Help Interpret Controversial Trial Findings?

- PS overlap weighting to balance patients on 90 baseline characteristics
- Cox proportional hazards regression
- Primary CABANA outcome:

composite of mortality, stroke, major bleeding, and cardiac arrest



#### Q2: Can observational data help interpret the controversial trial findings?



Larger Absolute Risk/Absolute Risk Reduction in Practice vs RCT

## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together

# **Heart Failure**

#### All-Cause Mortality, Disabling Stroke, Serious Bleeding, or CA (ITT): Impact of HF

### **Risk of All-Cause Mortality in CABANA (ITT): Impact of HF**

#### Cumulative Risk of AF Recurrence In HF Patients (ITT)

Recurrence ЧF

## AF Burden by Time and Randomization in CABANA Patients

#### No HF



### Clinical Outcomes in CABANA HF by ITT

CHF

on better Yes

Group		HR	95% CI	No
Primary endpoint	HF No HF	0.66 1.06	0.43, 0.99 0.71, 1.58	
Mortality	HF No HF	0.59 1.27	0.36, 0.96 0.75, 2.16	
Mortality or CV Hosp	HF No HF	0.84 0.82	0.71, 1.00 0.70, 0.95	
Recurrent AF	HF No HF	0.58 0.50	0.44, 0.75 0.41, 0.59	0 0,5 1 1,5 2 2,5 Ablati Drug better

#### CABANA HRS, 2019

## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together

# North America vs Europe and Elsewhere

### North America vs Euro/Asia Outcome of CABANA

#### **Event Rates**

	4-Year KM Event Rates (95% CI)			
Endpoint	North America N = 1285*	Other N = 919*		
Primary composite endpoint	10.6% (8.9%, 12.6%)	3.8% (2.6%, 5.6%)		
All-cause mortality	6.4% (5.1%, 8.1%)	2.7% (1.7%, 4.3%)		
Death or CV hospitalization	61.2% (58.3%, 64.1%)	54.7% (51.0%, 58.5%)		
Recurrent atrial fibrillation*	62.0% (58.8%, 65.1%)	59.6% (52.0%, 66.3%)		

\* Recurrent atrial fibrillation was assessed using the CABANA mobile rhythm monitoring device

#### North America vs Euro/Asia Outcome of CABANA

#### **Composite Endpoint\***

North America (1285)	Other (919)
HR 0.93 (95% CI: 0.68 – 1.28)	HR 0.51 (95% CI: 0.24 – 1.04)



\*Adj. for age, sex, structural heart dis, yrs since onset of AF, CAD, CHF, BMI, CCS class, fam history of AF, LVEF>35%, DM, sleep apnea, prior hosp. for AF, history of A. FL., CHADS-VASc, NYHA class, and LVH

## CABANA: Putting All of the Evidence Together

# Reverse Remodeling

### LA Reverse Structural Remodeling in Ablation vs Drug Patients



#### Impact on Recurrent AF

	Time to first AF recurrence N <sub>e</sub> /N=113/178
Change in LAVI	1.02 (1.00,1.03)
Change in LIPV (mm)	1.05 (0.99,1.13)
Change in LSPV (mm)	1.05 (1.00,1.10)
Change in RIPV (mm)	1.08 (1.01,1.14)
Change in RSPV (mm)	1.14 (1.06,1.22)

#### Hazard Ratio (95% confidence interval)

Change from baseline to follow-up, p-value is unadjusted. Length of box is interquartile range (Q1,Q3), symbol in box is group mean, line in box is group median, whiskers extend from group minimum to group maximum.

### **Adverse Events in CABANA**

	Ablation			
	n = 1006		Pts Receiving Drug	
Event	n (%)*		n = 1092	
Catheter Insertion	39 (3.9)	Event	n (%)*	
Hematoma	23 (2.3)	Hyper- or hypothyroidism	17 (1.6)	
Pseudo aneurysm	11 (1.1)	Hypotension	3 (0.3)	
Atrial venous fistula	4 (0.4)	Major proarrhythmic event (VT,VF)	9 (0.8)	
Pneumothorax	1 (0.1)	Torsades des pointes	0	
Sepsis	1 (0.1)	Atrial proarrhythmic event	1 (0.1)	
DVT	0	Heart failure	0	
Pulmonary embolus	0	Allergic reaction	7 (0.6)	
Catheter Manipulation Within the Heart	34 (3.4)	Gastrointestinal abnormality	3 (0.3)	
Pericardial effusion not requiring intervention	22 (2.2)	Moderate or severe diarrhea	0	
Cardiac tamponade with perforation	8 (0.8)	Liver injury/failure	3 (0.3)	
TIA	3 (0.3)	Pulmonary toxicity	1 (0.1)	
Coronary occlusion	0	Blindness	0	
Myocardial infarction	1 (0.1)	Kidney damage	0	
Complete heart block	0	Renal failure	0	
Valvular damage	0	Severe headache	0	
Ablation-related Events	18 (1.8)			
Severe pericardial chest pain	11 (1.1)	* n (%) = number (percent) of patients who report	rted drug-related adverse event.	
Esophageal ulcer	5 (0.5)	Percent is calculated among all patients that h	ave received drug.	
Pulmonary Vein Stenosis > 75%	1 (0.1)		-	
Phrenic nerve injury	1 (0.1)			
Atrial esophageal fistula	0			
Medication-related Events	0			
Heparin induced bleeding	0			

#### **Conclusion of the CABANA Trial**

- Ablation did not produce a significant reduction in the primary endpoint and all-cause mortality.
- The results were affected by cross-overs in both directions and lower than expected event rates.
- Ablation significantly reduced mortality or CV hospitalization by 17% compared to drug therapy.
- There also was a significant 47% reduction in recurrent AF with ablation compared to drug therapy.
- A 33% reduction in the primary endpoint and 40% mortality risk reduction was present when patients actually underwent ablation (treatment received).
- Ablation is an acceptable treatment strategy for treating AF with low adverse event rates even in higher risk patients.



# Changing Times and Approaches

#### 1998:

"Don't get in a car with strangers"

#### 2008:

"Don't meet people from the internet alone."

#### 2018:

UBER... Order yourself a stranger from the internet to get into a car with alone.

#### What Does or Doesn't CABANA Say About Ablation?

Confirms prior observational and RTC studies	$\star\star\star\star\star$
Is an effective way of eliminating AF	$\star\star\star\star\star$
Ablation is acceptably safe	$\star \star \star \star$
Reduces mortality or CV hospitalization	$\star\star\star$
Is effective in persistent AF	$\star\star\star\star$
Is highly effective as first-line Rx	$\star \star \star \star \star$
Ablation is no different than drug Rx for reducing mortality, disabling stroke, bleeding, or CA by ITT	$\star \mathbf{X}$
Ablation is no different than anti-arrhythmic Rx for reducing mortality by ITT	$\star \star$
Ablation may reduce mortality by TR or PP, particularly in CHF	$\star\star\star\star$

Packer, DL, et al. 2018

#### **Recommendations for Catheter Ablation**

Recommendations		Level⁵	Ref <sup>c</sup>	After CABANA
Catheter ablation of symptomatic paroxysmal AF is recommended to improve AF symptoms in patients who have symptomatic recurrences of AF on antiarrhythmic drug therapy (amiodarone, dronedarone, flecainide, propafenone, sotalol) and who prefer further rhythm control therapy, when performed by an electrophysiologist who has received appropriate training and is performing the procedure in an experienced centre.	I	A	585–587, 713,727	+ Pers.
Ablation of common atrial flutter should be considered to prevent recurrent flutter as part of an AF ablation procedure if documented or occurring during the AF ablation.		B	827	
Catheter ablation of AF should be considered as first-line therapy to prevent recurrent AF and to improve symptoms in selected patients with symptomatic paroxysmal AF as an alternative to antiarrhythmic drug therapy, considering patient choice, benefit, and risk.	lla	B	585	IA
All patients should receive oral anticoagulation for at least 8 weeks after catheter (IIaB) or surgical (IIaC) ablation.		ВС	727	
Anticoagulation for stroke prevention should be continued indefinitely after apparently successful catheter or surgical ablation of AF in patients at high-risk of stroke.	lla	С		
When catheter ablation of AF is planned, continuation of oral anticoagulation with a VKA (IIaB) or NOAC (IIaC) should be considered during the procedure, maintaining effective anticoagulation.	lla	вс	760, 768	
Catheter ablation should target isolation of the pulmonary veins using radiofrequency ablation or cryothermy balloon catheters.		B	585, 715, 716, 734, 735	
AF ablation should be considered in symptomatic patients with AF and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction to improve symptoms and cardiac function when tachycardiomyopathy is suspected.		C	185, 226–228, 720, 777–779, 828	II A- I B
AF ablation should be considered as a strategy to avoid pacemaker implantation in patients with AF-related bradycardia.		U	829,830	
Catheter or surgical ablation should be considered in patients with symptomatic persistent or long-standing persistent AF refractory to AAD therapy to improve symptoms, considering patient choice, benefit and risk, supported by an AF Heart Team.		С	468,735, 777,831, 832,1040	

#### Kirchhof P, et al. Europace 2016:1609

## Issues With Clinical Trial Interpretation

## Trial Design and Execution

(Strict / Purist) Intention-to-Treat Existential

### Data Interpretation

(Pragmatic / Practical) As Treated /Per Protocol Explanatory not Exploratory

Precision

Marso SP. KHRS 2018

### Approach to Dredging Numbers: Looks Better Down There





#### Impact of Sinus Rhythm on Mortality

